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## Cubic graphs of large cyclic connectivity

- Conjecture T (C. Thomassen): If the cyclic connectivity of a cubic graph $X$ is large, then $X$ is hamiltonian.
- Conjecture T* (the strongest version of A): Every 7-cyclically connected cubic graph except the Coxeter graph is hamiltonian.
- Remark: 7 in Conj. $T^{*}$ cannot be replaced by 6 , because there are infine families of cyclically 6 -connected snarks, in fact they form an NP-class of cubic graphs.
- Conjectures T and T* are very strong, in particular, a positive solution of $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ would imply that there no cyclically 7-connected snarks, thus confirming in the affirmative the Jaeger's conjecture open since 1979.
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## Hamiltonicity in cubic Cayley graphs

A folklore conjecture inspired by the Lovasz conjecture:
Conjecture F: Every Cayley graph is hamiltonian.
Assume T* holds, then to prove Conj. F for cubic Cayley graphs we have to deal with the following problem:

Problem: Prove that cuhic Cayley granhs of girth at most six are hamiltonian.

Note that N. and Škoviera proved in 1995 that for a cubic vertex-transitive graph the cyclic connectivity is equal to the girth! Hence, the cyclic connectivity $c$, implies there exists a cycle of length $c$, and this implies that there exists a relation of length $c$ in terms of the generators.
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## Type I. $X=\operatorname{Cay}(G ; a, b, c)$, where $a^{2}=b^{2}=c^{2}=1$

We may assume that $|a b| \leq|a c| \leq|b c|, G$ is a finite quotient of the extended triangle group of type $(k, m, n), k \leq m \leq n$.

Theorem
If the girth $g(X) \leq 6$, then one of the following happens:

- $g(X)=3, G=C_{2} \times C_{2}$ and $X \cong K_{4}$,
- $g(X)=4$, and $(a b)^{2}=1$,
- $g(X)=6$, and $(a b c)^{2}=1$, and $X$ is a honeycomb graph,
- $g(X)=6$, and $(a b)^{3}=1$. (the difficult case)


## Hamiltonicity of graphs of Type I, case $(a b)^{2}=1$

Proposition: Graphs of type I satisfying $(a b)^{2}=1$ are hamiltonian.

- Proved by Rappaport-Strasser, see Pak, Radoičič DM 2009 for the proof,
- It follows from a result by Powers (1985), who proved that Cayley cubic graphs of girth 4 are hamiltonian,
- There is a proof based on the method by Glower and Marušič


## Essence of G-M method

Let $X$ be a cubic strongly embedded graph into a surface (the faces are bounded by true cycles). Let the of faces can be 3 -coloured $F=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup F_{3}$ such that

- $F_{1}$ is independent, and the collection of cycles bounding faces in $F_{1}$ forms a 2-factor,
- $F_{2}$ is independent,
- $F_{3}$ induces a tree in the dual.

Then $X$ admits a contractible hamilton cycle.

## Case I $(a b)^{2}=1$ : proof by G-M. method

- form an embedding of $X$ into a surface by attaching a 2-cell to all the $(a b)$-cycles, $(b c)$-cycles and ( $a c$ )-cycles.
- consider the partial dual $Y=X^{*}$, induced by the vertices that correspond to the $(a b)$-cycles and ( $b c$ )-cycles.
- observation $Y$ is a bipartite graph, where all the $(a b)$-vertices are of degree two,
- take a spanning tree $T$ of $Y$ and form a vertex decomposition into an induced tree $T^{\prime}$ and an independent set $I$ by setting $I$ to be the set of $(a b)$-vertices that are of degree 1 in $T$.
- by G-M. $T^{\prime}$ determines in the embedding of $X$ a tree of faces bounded by a (contractible) hamilton cycle.
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## Case II. $(a b c)^{2}=1$

Proposition: Graphs of type I $(a b c)^{2}=1$ are hamiltonian.
Outline of the proof:

- Observe that each edge of $X$ lies in exactly two 6 -cycles induced by the relation $(a b c)^{2}=1$,
- Thus $X$ is a honeycomb graph on the torus,
- Honeycomb graphs are hamiltonian, see B. Alspach and D. Matthew (2009), or Yang et.all (2004)


## Case I $(a b)^{3}=1$, the difficult case

Wanted: Cayley graphs coming from finite (torsion free) quotients of the extedned triangle group:

$$
\Delta(3, m, n)=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2}=b^{2}=c^{2}=(a b)^{3}=(a c)^{m}=(b c)^{n}=1\right\rangle
$$

are hamiltonian.
G-M. method gives almost the result for $(k, m, n)=(3,3, n)$ !

## Graphs of type II.

Theorem
Let $X$ be a Cayley cubic graph $X=\operatorname{Cay}(G ; a, b), a^{2}=1$, of girth $g(X) \leq 6$. Then $X$ one of the following cases happens:

- $g(X)=3$, and $b^{3}=1$, or $G \cong C_{4}$ and $X \cong K_{4}$, prism or a Mobius ladder,
- $g(X)=4$, and $b^{4}=1$,
- $g(X)=5$, and $b^{5}=1$, generalised Petersen graph $\operatorname{GP}(8,3)$,
- $g(X)=6, a b^{2} a=b^{ \pm 2}$ and $X$ is a honeycomb graph,
- $g(X)=6$, and either $(a b)^{3}=1$, or $b^{6}=1$
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## Hamiltonicity, the difficult cases

The difficult cases are:

- $b^{3}=1$, this case can be solved by using Conjecture $\mathrm{T}^{*}$
- $b^{5}=1, b^{6}=1$, no idea how to solve these cases,
- $(a b)^{3}=1$, G.M. method gives existence of hamilton path, and in most cases a hamilton cycle as well,

In all the other cases we can verify the hamiltonicity.

