# On Structural Parameterizations of Continuous Facility Location Problems on Graphs

Stefan Lendl

Institute of Operations and Information Systems University of Graz



joint work with Tim A. Hartmann

GROW 2022

### Continuous Facility Location on Graphs



• Graph G = (V, E), connected, unit length

### Continuous Facility Location on Graphs



- Graph G = (V, E), connected, unit length
- P(G) continuum set of points on edges and vertices

### Continuous Facility Location on Graphs



- Graph G = (V, E), connected, unit length
- P(G) continuum set of points on edges and vertices
- d(p,q) shortest distance between p and q

## $\delta\textsc{-Dispersion}$ and $\delta\textsc{-Covering}$ Number



•  $S \subset P(G)$   $\delta$ -dispersed:  $\forall p \neq q \in S : d(p,q) \ge \delta$ 

 $\delta\text{-disp}(G) = \max\{|S| \colon S \subset P(G), S \ \delta\text{-dispersed}\}$ 

## $\delta\textsc{-Dispersion}$ and $\delta\textsc{-Covering}$ Number



•  $S \subset P(G)$   $\delta$ -dispersed:  $\forall p \neq q \in S : d(p,q) \ge \delta$ 

 $\delta$ -disp(G) = max{|S|: S  $\subset P(G), S \delta$ -dispersed}

•  $S \subset P(G)$   $\delta$ -covering:  $\forall p \in P(G) \exists s \in S : d(p,s) \le \delta$ 

 $\delta$ -cov(G) = min{|S|: S \subset P(G), S \delta-covering}

[Grigiorev, Hartmann, L. Woeginger, STACS 2019] Complete picture of **computational complexity** for rational  $\delta$ :

•  $\delta = \frac{1}{h}$ :

[Grigiorev, Hartmann, L. Woeginger, STACS 2019] Complete picture of **computational complexity** for rational  $\delta$ :

$$rac{1}{b} ext{-disp}(G) = egin{cases} b|E|+1 & G ext{ is a tree} \ b|E| & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

[Grigiorev, Hartmann, L. Woeginger, STACS 2019] Complete picture of **computational complexity** for rational  $\delta$ :

$$rac{1}{b} ext{-disp}(\mathit{G}) = egin{cases} b|\mathit{E}|+1 & \mathit{G} ext{ is a tree} \ b|\mathit{E}| & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

• 
$$\delta = \frac{a}{b}, a \ge 3, \gcd(a, b) = 1$$
: NP-hard

- Independent set in cubic graphs
- Lemma of Bézout

•  $\delta = \frac{1}{b}$ :

[Grigiorev, Hartmann, L. Woeginger, STACS 2019] Complete picture of **computational complexity** for rational  $\delta$ :

$$rac{1}{b} ext{-disp}(G) = egin{cases} b|E|+1 & G ext{ is a tree} \ b|E| & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

- $\delta = \frac{2}{b}$ : polynomial time algorithm
  - Matchings (Edmonds-Gallai decomposition)
  - Submodular optimization (directed s-t-cut)
- $\delta = \frac{a}{b}, a \ge 3, \operatorname{gcd}(a, b) = 1$ : NP-hard
  - Independent set in cubic graphs
  - Lemma of Bézout

•  $\delta = \frac{1}{h}$ :

[Grigiorev, Hartmann, L. Woeginger, STACS 2019] Complete picture of **computational complexity** for rational  $\delta$ :

$$rac{1}{b} ext{-disp}(G) = egin{cases} b|E|+1 & G ext{ is a tree} \ b|E| & ext{else} \ \end{cases}$$

- $\delta = \frac{2}{b}$ : polynomial time algorithm
  - Matchings (Edmonds-Gallai decomposition)
  - Submodular optimization (directed s-t-cut)
- $\delta = \frac{a}{b}, a \ge 3, gcd(a, b) = 1$ : NP-hard
  - Independent set in cubic graphs
  - Lemma of Bézout

•  $\delta = \frac{1}{h}$ :

[Hartmann, L. Woeginger, IPCO 2020] Similar results for  $\delta$ -covering

### Studied structural parameters:

PART I: Parameters leading to sparse graphs:

- treewidth tw(G)
- pathwidth pw(G)
- size of a feedback vertex set fvs(G)
- treedepth td(G)

PART II: Structural parameterizations of dense graphs:

• neighborhood diversity nd(G)

# Overview of results

[Hartmann, L.; MFCS 2022] Main ingredients:

- Connection to distance-d independent set
- L length of longest path in G and rounding  $\delta$

# Overview of results

[Hartmann, L.; MFCS 2022] Main ingredients:

- Connection to distance-d independent set
- L length of longest path in G and rounding  $\delta$

treewidth tw(G)

- **XP** with running time  $(2L)^{tw(G)} n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$
- no  $n^{o(tw(G)+\sqrt{k})}$ , assuming ETH

pathwidth pw(G), size of a feedback vertex set fvs(G)

- W[1]-hard even for the combined parameter pw(G) + k
- W[1]-hard for fvs(G)

treedepth td(G)

- **FPT** with running time  $2^{\mathcal{O}(td(G)^2)} n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$
- no  $2^{o(td(G)^2)}$  algorithm, assuming ETH

## Dispersion and Independent Set

 $\alpha_d(G)$  maximum size of a distance-d independent set

#### Lemma

Consider integers a, b and a 2b-subdivision  $G_{2b}$  of a graph G. Then  $\frac{a}{b}$ -disp $(G) = \alpha_{2a}(G_{2b})$ .



## Dispersion and Independent Set

 $\alpha_d(G)$  maximum size of a distance-d independent set

#### Lemma

Consider integers a, b and a 2b-subdivision  $G_{2b}$  of a graph G. Then  $\frac{a}{b}$ -disp $(G) = \alpha_{2a}(G_{2b})$ .



## Dispersion and Independent Set

 $\alpha_d(G)$  maximum size of a distance-d independent set

#### Lemma

Consider integers a, b and a 2b-subdivision  $G_{2b}$  of a graph G. Then  $\frac{a}{b}$ -disp $(G) = \alpha_{2a}(G_{2b})$ .



Using [Katsikarelis, Lampis, Paschos; DAM 2022] we get

#### Theorem

 $\frac{a}{b}$ -disp(G) can be computed in time  $(2a)^{tw(G)}(bn)^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ .

### Lemma

For 
$$\delta \in (0,3]$$
 we have  $\delta$ -disp $(G) = \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}$ -disp $(G) + |E(G)|$ .

#### Lemma

For 
$$\delta \in (0,3]$$
 we have  $\delta$ -disp $(G) = \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}$ -disp $(G) + |E(G)|$ .

Problem for  $\delta > 3$ : locally-injective p to p walk.



**Observation:** For given G and  $\delta$  there might exist  $\delta^* > \delta$  such that  $\delta$ -disp $(G) = \delta^*$ -disp(G).

Illustrative example:  $P_6$ 



Illustrative example:  $P_6$ 



Illustrative example:  $P_6$ 



 $\delta^*$  depends on L, the length of the longest (non-induced) path in G

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let L be an upper bound on the length of paths in G. Let  $\delta^* = \frac{a^*}{b^*} \ge \delta$  minimal with  $a^* \le 2L$  and  $b^* \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\delta$ -disp $(G) = \delta^*$ -disp(G).

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let L be an upper bound on the length of paths in G. Let  $\delta^* = \frac{a^*}{b^*} \ge \delta$  minimal with  $a^* \le 2L$  and  $b^* \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\delta$ -disp(G) =  $\delta^*$ -disp(G).

**Observation:** Inverse of  $\delta^*$  is the next smaller rational number of the inverse of  $\delta$  in the Farey sequence of order 2*L*.

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let L be an upper bound on the length of paths in G. Let  $\delta^* = \frac{a^*}{b^*} \ge \delta$  minimal with  $a^* \le 2L$  and  $b^* \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\delta$ -disp(G) =  $\delta^*$ -disp(G).

**Observation:** Inverse of  $\delta^*$  is the next smaller rational number of the inverse of  $\delta$  in the Farey sequence of order 2*L*.

**Main idea:** Push points of  $\delta$ -dispersed set *S* away from each other such that the new set is  $(\delta + \epsilon)$ -dispersed.

During pushing certain **events** occur or we reach  $\delta^*$ .

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let L be an upper bound on the length of paths in G. Let  $\delta^* = \frac{a^*}{b^*} \ge \delta$  minimal with  $a^* \le 2L$  and  $b^* \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\delta$ -disp(G) =  $\delta^*$ -disp(G).

**Observation:** Inverse of  $\delta^*$  is the next smaller rational number of the inverse of  $\delta$  in the Farey sequence of order 2*L*.

**Main idea:** Push points of  $\delta$ -dispersed set *S* away from each other such that the new set is  $(\delta + \epsilon)$ -dispersed.

During pushing certain **events** occur or we reach  $\delta^*$ .

A pair of points  $\{p, q\}$  is  $\delta$ -critical, if they have distance exactly  $\delta$ . These points we push!

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let L be an upper bound on the length of paths in G. Let  $\delta^* = \frac{a^*}{b^*} \ge \delta$  minimal with  $a^* \le 2L$  and  $b^* \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\delta$ -disp(G) =  $\delta^*$ -disp(G).

**Observation:** Inverse of  $\delta^*$  is the next smaller rational number of the inverse of  $\delta$  in the Farey sequence of order 2*L*.

**Main idea:** Push points of  $\delta$ -dispersed set *S* away from each other such that the new set is  $(\delta + \epsilon)$ -dispersed.

During pushing certain **events** occur or we reach  $\delta^*$ .

A pair of points  $\{p, q\}$  is  $\delta$ -critical, if they have distance exactly  $\delta$ . These points we push!

(Event 1) A  $\delta$ -uncritical pair of points {p, q} becomes ( $\delta + \varepsilon$ )-critical.

Consider a sequence of point  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots$  with  $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\}$  critical. Move  $p_0$  by 0,  $p_1$  by  $\varepsilon$ ,  $p_2$  by  $2\varepsilon$ ,  $\ldots$ .



Consider a sequence of point  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots$  with  $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\}$  critical. Move  $p_0$  by 0,  $p_1$  by  $\varepsilon$ ,  $p_2$  by  $2\varepsilon$ ,  $\ldots$ .



Consider a sequence of point  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots$  with  $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\}$  critical. Move  $p_0$  by 0,  $p_1$  by  $\varepsilon$ ,  $p_2$  by  $2\varepsilon$ ,  $\ldots$ .

#### **Problems:**



(Event 2) A non-half-integral  $p \in S$  becomes half-integral.

(Event 3) A non-pivot point  $r \in P(G)$  becomes a pivot.

Consider a sequence of point  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots$  with  $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\}$  critical. Move  $p_0$  by 0,  $p_1$  by  $\varepsilon$ ,  $p_2$  by  $2\varepsilon$ ,  $\ldots$ .

#### **Problems:**



(Event 2) A non-half-integral  $p \in S$  becomes half-integral.

(Event 3) A non-pivot point  $r \in P(G)$  becomes a pivot.

**Spines** (pushed sequences of points) start with a root (half-integral point if possible).

### Velocities

Another problem appears within our simple pushing idea:



### Velocities

Another problem appears within our simple pushing idea:



We can orchestrate this type of movement by introducing

- well-defined directions between points,
- movement signs and velocities,
- spines only starting in a defined set of roots.

### Velocities

Another problem appears within our simple pushing idea:



We can orchestrate this type of movement by introducing

- well-defined directions between points,
- movement signs and velocities,
- spines only starting in a defined set of roots.

#### Lemma

The choice of such a spine does not influence the movement of a point.

# Algorithmic Implications

treewidth tw(G)

- **XP** with running time  $(2L)^{tw(G)} n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$
- no  $n^{o(tw(G)+\sqrt{k})}$ , assuming ETH

pathwidth pw(G), size of a feedback vertex set fvs(G)

- W[1]-hard even for the combined parameter pw(G) + k
- W[1]-hard for fvs(G)

treedepth td(G)

- **FPT** with running time  $2^{\mathcal{O}(td(G)^2)} n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$
- no  $2^{o(td(G)^2)}$  algorithm, assuming ETH

natural parameter k:

- FPT if  $\delta \leq 2$
- W[1]-hard if  $\delta > 2$

### Dense Graphs – Cliques



(a)  $\delta \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2]$ : F(S) is a matching.



(b)  $\delta \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$ : F(S) is a star.

[Hartmann, L.; 2022+] Structural parameterization of dense graphs

Parameter including large cliques: Naighborhood diversity pd(C)

Neighborhood diversity nd(G)



Illustration from [Ganian; SOFSEM 2012]



**(** Guess structure of canonical form and position of additional points

- **(** Guess structure of canonical form and position of additional points
- ② Linear programming to compute existence of feasible edge positions

- **(**) Guess structure of canonical form and position of additional points
- 2 Linear programming to compute existence of feasible edge positions
- Maximizing the Matchings



- Guess structure of canonical form and position of additional points
- 2 Linear programming to compute existence of feasible edge positions
- Maximizing the Matchings



#### Theorem

DISPERSION can be solved in time  $2^{\mathcal{O}(nd(G)^2)}n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ .

# Thank you!

